

Physical Review – REFEREE RESPONSE FORM

(Please include this form with your full report)

Referee Please Note: This form is not a substitute for a full report

The preferred method for sending referee reports is via our referee web server (<http://referees.aps.org/>). If you do not have a password, you can request one by clicking a button available at the site. Your Referee ID is the six-digit number at the bottom of the first page of this referral, following your name. The referee web server allows you to upload your report and to respond to the questions on the Response Form by clicking boxes.

Alternatively, you may send your report by email, fax, or conventional mail. If you use email, give as the subject “Report” followed by your last name in upper case, the manuscript code number, and the last name of the first author, for example, “Report BROWN BA1234 Smith”; and include in the message the responses to applicable questions on the Response Form (a template is available at <http://forms.aps.org/referee/refresp-pr.asc>). If you do not receive an acknowledgment within one working day, please repeat the message or send the report via another mode. (For technical reasons, we cannot send automatic acknowledgments if the subject line contains “Re:”, “re:”, etc., so please avoid such subject lines.)

If you use conventional mail or fax, please include the Response Form. The manuscript should not be returned (except perhaps for pages you have marked for the benefit of the author). Do not automatically follow an email or fax report with a backup by conventional mail.

Referee:

Manuscript Number: Received:

Author:

Title:

Please summarize your assessment of the paper	YES	MAYBE	NO
Does the paper contain enough significant new physics to warrant publication in the Physical Review?	()	()	()
Is the paper scientifically sound and not misleading?	()	()	()
Is the paper well organized and clearly written?	()	()	()
Are the subject matter and style of presentation appropriate for the Physical Review?	()	()	()
Is the length appropriate?	()	()	()

Please evaluate quality of:	Research	and	Presentation
	()	Excellent	()
	()	Good	()
	()	Average	()
	()	Marginal	()
	()	Poor	()

Recommendation

- () Publish without change (please give reasons).
- () Publish after authors have considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report.
- () Publish after authors have made the revisions mentioned in the report.
(I do not need to see the manuscript again.)
- () Revisions are necessary. Return to me on resubmittal.
- () Revisions are necessary. On resubmittal, send to _____
- () Manuscript is more appropriate for another () journal _____
- () Do not publish; see report. or () section _____
- () Other; see report.

Please provide a report suitable for transmission to the authors.

Signature of referee _____

Suggestions for alternative referees:
